

INFN - Sezione Torino, Via Pietro Giuria 1, I-10125 Turin, Italy and
University of Cambridge, DAMTP, Cambridge, CB3 9EW, UK

Abstract

Retrieval of classical behaviour in quantum cosmology is usually discussed in the framework of minisuperspace models in the presence of scalar fields together with the inhomogeneous modes of gravitational or scalar fields. In this work we propose alternatively a model where the scalar field is replaced by a massive vector field with global $U(1)$ or $SO(3)$ internal symmetries.

The emergence of the classical properties from the quantum mechanics formalism remains still essentially an open problem. Some progress has, however, been achieved through the so-called decoherence approach. On fairly general grounds, decoherence can be regarded as a procedure where one considers the system under study to be part of a more complex world and which interacts with other subsystems, usually referred to as “environment”. This interaction leads to the vanishing of the off-diagonal terms of the density matrix of the system suppressing in this way quantum interference.

These ideas have been recently very much discussed in the context of minisuperspace models in quantum cosmology (see [1] and references therein). In the literature concerning the emergence of classical behaviour from quantum cosmological minisuperspace models it is most usual to consider as environment the inhomogeneous modes either of the gravitational or of the scalar fields. We propose alternatively a model where the self-interacting scalar field is replaced by a massive vector field with $U(1)$ or $SO(3)$ global internal symmetries [1,3,4]. Preliminary work on the system with $SO(3)$ non-Abelian global symmetry, whose classical cosmology has been studied in Ref. [2], shows that the ingredients necessary for the process of decoherence to take place are present [3]. The system with $U(1)$ global symmetry has been analysed in Ref. [4]. Notice that the presence of a mass term is an essential feature as this breaks the conformal symmetry of the spin-1 field action which leads to a Wheeler-DeWitt equation where gravitational and matter degrees of freedom decouple [5].

In this contribution, we overview the results of Ref. [1] on the decoherence process of homogeneous and isotropic metrics in the presence of massive vector fields with $U(1)$ and $SO(3)$ global symmetries. The main idea is that role of the environment is played by the inhomogeneous modes of the vector field. In the $U(1)$ case the corresponding minisuperspace is one-dimensional as it has only a single “classical” degree of freedom as physical observable, the scale factor. The minisuperspace for the non-Abelian model is two-dimensional due to the specific Ansatz for the homogeneous modes of massive the spin-1 field [2].

The action of our model consists of a Proca field coupled with gravity [2]:

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{1}{2k^2} R + \frac{1}{8e^2} \text{Tr}(\mathbf{F}_{\mu\nu}^{(a)} \mathbf{F}^{(a)\mu\nu}) + \frac{1}{2} m^2 \text{Tr}(\mathbf{A}_\mu^{(a)} \mathbf{A}^{(a)\mu}) \right] - \frac{1}{k^2} \int_{\partial M} d^3x \sqrt{h} K, \quad (1)$$

where $k^2 = 8\pi M_P^{-2}$, M_P being the Planck mass, e is a gauge coupling constant, m the mass of the Proca field and with $h_{ij}(i, j = 1, 2, 3)$ being the induced metric on the three-dimensional boundary ∂M of M , $h = \det(h_{ij})$ and $K = K^\mu_\mu$ is the trace of the second fundamental form on ∂M .

In quantum cosmology one is concerned with spatially compact topologies and we shall consider the Friedmann-Robertson-Walker (FRW) ansatz for the $\mathbf{R} \times S^3$ geometry

$$ds^2 = \sigma^2 a^2(\eta) \left[-N(\eta)^2 d\eta^2 + \sum_{i=1}^3 \omega^i \omega^i \right], \quad (2)$$

where $\sigma^2 = 2/3\pi$, η is the conformal time, $N(\eta)$ and $a(\eta)$ being the lapse function and the scale factor, respectively and ω^i are left-invariant one-forms in $SU(2) \simeq S^3$.

The massive vector field

$$\mathbf{A} = A_m^{ab} \omega_s^m \mathcal{T}_{ab} = A_m^{ab} \sigma_i^m \omega^i \mathcal{T}_{ab}, \quad (3)$$

where ω_s^m denote the one-forms in a spherical basis with $m = 0, \pm 1$, σ_i^m denotes a 3×3 matrix and $\mathcal{T}_{ab}$ are the $SO(3)$ group generators, is expanded in spin-1 hyperspherical harmonics as [1]:

$$\begin{aligned} A_0(\eta, x^j) &= \sum_{JMN} \alpha^{abJM}_N(\eta) \mathcal{D}^{JM}_N(g) \mathcal{T}_{ab} \\ A_i(\eta, x^j) &= \sum_{LJNM} \beta^{abMN}_{LJ}(\eta) Y^{1LJ}_{mNM}(g) \sigma_i^m \mathcal{T}_{ab} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[1 + \sqrt{\frac{2\bar{\alpha}}{3\pi}} \chi(\eta) \right] \epsilon_{aib} \mathcal{T}_{ab} + \sum_{L'J'N'M'} \beta^{abM'N'}_{L'J'}(\eta) Y^{1L'J'}_{mN'M'}(g) \sigma_i^m \mathcal{T}_{ab} \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where $\bar{\alpha} = e^2/4\pi$ and $\chi(\eta)$ a time-dependent scalar function. A_0 is a scalar on each fixed time hypersurface, such that it can be expanded in scalar harmonics $\mathcal{D}^{JM}_N(g)$. The expansion of A_i is performed in terms of the spin-1 spinor hyperspherical harmonics, $Y^{1LJ}_{mNM}(g)$. Longitudinal and the transversal harmonics correspond to $L = J$ and $L - J = \pm 1$, respectively. The rhs of eqs. (4) and (5) correspond to a decomposition in homogeneous and inhomogeneous modes. For this decomposition we have used the Ansatz for the homogeneous modes of the vector field that is compatible with the FRW geometry as discussed in Ref. [2].

From action (1) one can work out the effective Hamiltonian density obtained from the substitution of the expansions (2)-(5). To second order in the coefficients of the expansions and in all orders in a , one obtains the following effective Hamiltonian density for the system with SO(3) global symmetry (for the Abelian case one drops the last four terms) [1]:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}^{\text{eff}} = & -\frac{1}{2M_P^2}\pi_a^2 + M_P^2 \left(-a^2 + \frac{4\Lambda}{9\pi} a^4\right) + \sum_{J,L} \frac{4}{3\pi} m^2 a^2 \beta_{LJ}^{abNM} \beta_{NM}^{abLJ} \\
& + \sum_{|J-L|=1} \left[\bar{\alpha}\pi \pi_{\beta_{NM}^{abLJ}} \pi_{\beta_{NM}^{abLJ}} + \beta_{LJ}^{abNM} \beta_{NM}^{abLJ} (L+J+1)^2 \right] + \pi_\chi^2 + \frac{\bar{\alpha}}{3\pi} \left[\chi^2 - \frac{3\pi}{2\bar{\alpha}} \right]^2 \\
& + \sum_J \left\{ \bar{\alpha}\pi + \left[(-1)^{4J} \left(\frac{16\pi^2 J(J+1)}{2J+1} \right) \frac{3\pi}{4m^2} \right] \left[1 + \frac{1}{a(t)} \right] \right\} \pi_{\beta_{NM}^{abJJ}} \pi_{\beta_{NM}^{abJJ}} \\
& + \sum_{J,L} \frac{4}{\bar{\alpha}\pi} \left[1 + \sqrt{\frac{2\bar{\alpha}}{3\pi}} \chi \right]^2 \beta_{LJ}^{abNM} \beta_{NM}^{abLJ} + 4\pi a^2 m^2 \left[1 + \sqrt{\frac{2\bar{\alpha}}{3\pi}} \chi \right]^2, \tag{6}
\end{aligned}$$

where the canonical conjugate momenta of the dynamical variables are given by

$$\pi_a = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}^{\text{eff}}}{\partial \dot{a}} = -\frac{\dot{a}}{N}, \quad \pi_\chi = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}^{\text{eff}}}{\partial \dot{\chi}} = \frac{\dot{\chi}}{N} \pi_{\beta_{LJ}^{abNM}} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}^{\text{eff}}}{\partial \dot{\beta}_{LJ}^{abNM}} = \frac{\dot{\beta}_{LJ}^{abNM}}{2N\pi\bar{\alpha}}. \tag{7}$$

$$\pi_{\beta_{JJ}^{abNM}} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}^{\text{eff}}}{\partial \dot{\beta}_{JJ}^{abNM}} = \frac{\dot{\beta}_{JJ}^{abNM}}{2N\pi\bar{\alpha}} - \frac{1}{2\pi\bar{\alpha}} \frac{a}{N} \alpha^{abJM} (-1)^{2J} \sqrt{\frac{16\pi^2 J(J+1)}{2J+1}}, \tag{8}$$

$\mathcal{L}^{\text{eff}}$ denoting the effective Lagrangian density arising from (1) and the dots representing derivatives with respect to the conformal time.

The Hamiltonian constraint, $\mathcal{H}^{\text{eff}} = 0$, gives origin to the Wheeler-DeWitt equation after promoting the canonical conjugate momenta (7),(8) into operators:

$$\pi_a = -i \frac{\partial}{\partial a}, \quad \pi_\chi = -i \frac{\partial}{\partial \chi}, \quad \pi_{\beta_{LJ(JJ)}^{abNM}} = -i \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_{NM}^{abLJ(JJ)}}, \quad \pi_a^2 = -a^{-P} \frac{\partial}{\partial a} \left(a^P \frac{\partial}{\partial a} \right), \tag{9}$$

where in (9) the last substitution parametrizes the operator order ambiguity with p being a real constant. The Wheeler-DeWitt equation is obtained imposing that the Hamiltonian operator annihilates the wave function $\Psi[a, \beta_{LJ}^{abNM}, \beta_{JJ}^{abNM}, \chi]$.

A solution of the Wheeler-DeWitt equation which corresponds to a classical behaviour of its variables on some region of minisuperspace will have an oscillatory WKB form as

$$\Psi_{(n)}[a, \mathbf{A}_\mu] = e^{iM_P^2 S_{(n)}(a)} C_{(n)}(a) \psi_{(n)}(a, \mathbf{A}_\mu) . \quad (10)$$

In order to make predictions concerning the behaviour of the scale factor, a , for the U(1) case one uses a coarse-grained description of the system working out the reduced density matrix associated to (10)

$$\rho_R = \sum_{n,n'} e^{iM_P^2 [S_{(n)}(a_1) - S_{(n')}(a_2)]} C_{(n)}(a_1) C_{(n')}(a_2) \mathcal{I}_{n,n'}(a_2, a_1) , \quad (11)$$

where

$$\mathcal{I}_{n,n'}(a_2, a_1) \equiv \int \psi_{(n')}^*(a_2, \mathbf{A}_\mu) \psi_{(n)}(a_1, \mathbf{A}_\mu) d[\mathbf{A}_\mu] = \Pi_J \int \psi_{J(n')}^*(a_2, \beta_J) \psi_{J(n)}(a_1, \beta_J) [d\beta_J] . \quad (12)$$

The subindex (n) labels the WKB branches. The term $\mathcal{I}_{n,n'}(a_2, a_1)$ contains the environment influence on the system.

The decoherence process is successful when the non-diagonal terms ($n \neq n'$) in (11) are vanishingly small. Hence, there will be no quantum interference between alternative histories if $\mathcal{I}_{n,n'} \propto \delta_{n,n'}$. Once that is achieved one can analyse the correlations in each classical branch ($n = n'$). This can be performed looking at the reduced density matrix or the to corresponding Wigner functional:

$$F_{W,(n)}(a, \pi_a) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\Delta [S'_{(n)}(a_1) S'_{(n)}(a_2)]^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-2i\pi_a \Delta} e^{iM_P^2 [S_{(n)}(a_1) - S_{(n)}(a_2)]} \mathcal{I}_{n,n}(a_2, a_1) \quad (13)$$

where $\Delta = \frac{a_1 - a_2}{2}$. A correlation among variables will correspond to a strong peak about a classical trajectory in the phase space. The decoherence process is strictly necessary to achieve that as the Wigner function associated to (11) does not have a single sharp peak even for a WKB Wigner function as (13); such a peak (and a clearly classical WKB evolution) is found only among the $n = n'$ terms. If the conditions to obtain an effective diagonalization of (13) are met then the interference between the different classical behaviours is *also* highly suppressed. Furthermore, $\mathcal{I}_{n,n'}(a_2, a_1)$ will be damped for $|a_2 - a_1| \gg 1$ and the reduced density matrix associated with (13) will be diagonal with respect to the variable a [1].

As far as the non-Abelian case is concerned, correlations between each minisuperspace coordinate a and χ and their canonical momenta can be analysed by examining peaks in the *reduced* Wigner function

$$F_{W1}(q^A, \boldsymbol{\pi}_{q_1}) = \int d\boldsymbol{\pi}_{q_2} F_{W(n)}(q^A; \boldsymbol{\pi}_{q_A}) \quad (14)$$

which is equivalent to

$$F_{W1}(q^A, \boldsymbol{\pi}_{q_1}) = \exp \left[\epsilon^{11} \left[\boldsymbol{\pi}_{q_1} - M_P^2 \frac{\partial S}{\partial q^1} - \tilde{\epsilon}^1 \right]^2 \right], \quad (15)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\pi}_{q_1}$ is the momentum conjugate to q^A , $A = 1$. The so-called strong correlation condition which ensures that the Wigner function is sharply peaked, translates as

$$\epsilon^{AA} \ll \overline{\boldsymbol{\pi}_A}^2 \quad (16)$$

(where $\overline{\boldsymbol{\pi}_A}$ is a typical value of the momentum along the trajectory). On its hand, the so-called strong decoherence condition which guarantees an effective diagonalization of the reduced density matrix, consists in

$$\epsilon^{AA} \gg 1/q^A. \quad (17)$$

Notice that in eqs. (14), (15) a sum over the $SO(3)$ -group indexes is implicit and that *all* modes have been taken into account.

As a summary (see Refs. [1,3,4] for details), we conclude that a FRW quantum cosmological model with a massive vector field and global internal symmetry possesses interesting properties in what concerns the decoherence process. In the presence of a massive vector field with $U(1)$ global symmetry, the scale factor is the only decohered quantity, while for the non-Abelian case with $SO(3)$ global symmetry, the scale factor and the homogeneous mode $\chi(t)$ are the expected decohered variables.

As far as we restrict ourselves to expanding semiclassical solutions, the models we propose can be regarded to be essentially in the same footing to the ones where decoherence of the metric degrees of freedom is achieved via tracing out higher modes of self-interacting scalar fields. In this respect, the inhomogeneous modes of massive vector fields represent an interesting alternative to play the role of environment. However, interestingly, we find out that the strong decoherence condition, for the χ -field (parametrizing the

non-Abelian massive vector field homogeneous modes), is not fully satisfied [1].

Acknowledgments

The authors gratefully acknowledge H.F. Dowker, B.L. Hu, J.P. Paz and J. Mourão for valuable comments.

References

- [1] O. Bertolami and P.V. Moniz, “Decoherence of Friedmann-Robertson-Walker Geometries in the Presence of Massive Vector Fields with U(1) or SO(3) Global Symmetries”, Preprint CERN-TH.7241/94, DAMTP R-94/22; Bulletin Board gr-qc 9410027, accepted for publication in Nuclear Physics B.
- [2] M.C. Bento, O. Bertolami, P.V. Moniz, J.M. Mourão and P.M. Sá, *Class.Quantum Grav.* **10** (1993) 285.
- [3] O. Bertolami and P.V. Moniz, “Decoherence of Homogeneous and Isotropic Geometries in the Presence of Massive Vector Fields”, in Proceedings of the III National Meeting on Astronomy and Astrophysics, July 1993, Lisbon, Portugal; Bulletin Board gr-qc 9407025.
- [4] O. Bertolami and P.V. Moniz, “Decoherence of Homogeneous and Isotropic Metrics in the Presence of Massive Vector Fields”, Talk given at 7th Marcel Grossmann Meeting on General Relativity, July 1994, Stanford, USA; Bulletin Board GR-QC-9409042.
- [5] O. Bertolami and J.M. Mourão, *Class.Quantum Grav.* **8** (1991) 1271.